

Positive fixed points of Lyapunov operator

R. N. Ganikhodjaev, R. R. Kucharov, K. A. Aralova

National University of Uzbekistan, 100174, Tashkent, Uzbekistan

ramz3364647@yahoo.com

DOI 10.17586/2220-8054-2020-11-4-373-378

In this paper, fixed points of Lyapunov integral equation are found and considered the connections between Gibbs measures for four competing interactions of models with uncountable (i.e. $[0, 1]$) set of spin values on the Cayley tree of order two.

Keywords: Lyapunov integral operator, fixed points, Cayley tree, Gibbs measure.

Received: 13 January 2020

Revised: 9 August 2020

1. Introduction

Spin models on a graph or in continuous spaces form a large class of systems considered in mechanics, biology, nanoscience, etc. Some of them have a real physical meaning, others have been proposed as suitably simplified models of more complicated systems. The geometric structure of the graph or a physical space plays an important role in such investigations. For example, in order to study the phase transition problem on a cubic lattice Z^d or in space one uses, essentially, the Pirogov-Sinai theory; see [1–3]. A general methodology of phase transitions in Z^d or \mathbb{R}^d was developed in [4]; some recent results in this direction have been established in [5,6] (see also the bibliography therein).

During last years, an increasing attention was given to models with a *uncountable* many spin values on a Cayley tree. Until now, one considered nearest-neighbor interactions ($J_3 = J = \alpha = 0$, $J_1 \neq 0$) with the set of spin values $[0, 1]$ (for example, [7–12]).

In [13] it is described that splitting Gibbs measures on Γ_2 by solutions to a nonlinear integral equation for the case $J_3^2 + J_1^2 + J^2 + \alpha^2 \neq 0$ which a generalization of the case $J_3 = J = \alpha = 0$, $J_1 \neq 0$. Also, it is proven that periodic Gibbs measure for Hamiltonian (1) with four competing interactions is either *translation-invariant* or $G_k^{(2)}$ – *periodic*.

In this paper, we consider Lyapunov’s operator with degenerate kernel. In [11], Fixed points of Lyapunov’s operator with special degenerate kernel are studied. The present paper is a continuation of the paper [11], i.e., we give full description of fixed points of Lyapunov’s operator with another special degenerate kernel.

A Cayley tree $\Gamma^k = (V, L)$ of order $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is an infinite homogeneous tree, i.e., a graph without cycles, with exactly $k + 1$ edges incident to each vertexes. Here V is the set of vertices and L that of edges (arcs). The distance $d(x, y)$, $x, y \in V$ is the number of edges of the path from x to y . Let $x^0 \in V$ be a fixed and we set

$$W_n = \{x \in V \mid d(x, x^0) = n\}, \quad V_n = \{x \in V \mid d(x, x^0) \leq n\},$$

$$L_n = \{l = \langle x, y \rangle \in L \mid x, y \in V_n\},$$

If the distance from x to y equals one then we say x and y are nearest neighbors and use the notation $l = \langle x, y \rangle$. The set of the direct successors of x is denoted by $S(x)$, i.e.

$$S(x) = \{y \in W_{n+1} \mid d(x, y) = 1\}, \quad x \in W_n.$$

We observe that for any vertex $x \neq x^0$, x has k direct successors and x^0 has $k + 1$. The vertices x and y are called second neighbor which is denoted by $\succ x, y \prec$, if there exist a vertex $z \in V$ such that x, z and y, z are nearest neighbors. We will consider only second neighbors $\succ x, y \prec$, for which there exist n such that $x, y \in W_n$. Three vertices x, y and z are called a triplet of neighbors and they are denoted by $\langle x, y, z \rangle$, if $\langle x, y \rangle$, $\langle y, z \rangle$ are nearest neighbors and $x, z \in W_n$, $y \in W_{n-1}$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now, we consider models with four competing interactions where the spin takes values in the set $[0, 1]$. For some set $A \subset V$ an arbitrary function $\sigma_A : A \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a configuration and the set of all configurations on A we denote by $\Omega_A = [0, 1]^A$. Let $\sigma(\cdot)$ belong to $\Omega_V = \Omega$ and $\xi_1 : (t, u, v) \in [0, 1]^3 \rightarrow \xi_1(t, u, v) \in R$, $\xi_i : (u, v) \in [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \xi_i(u, v) \in R$, $i \in \{2, 3\}$ are given bounded, measurable functions.

We consider models with four competing interactions where the spin takes values in the unit interval $[0, 1]$. Given a set $\Lambda \subset V$ a configuration on Λ is an arbitrary function $\sigma_\Lambda : \Lambda \rightarrow [0, 1]$, with values $\sigma(x)$, $x \in \Lambda$. The set of all

configurations on Λ is denoted by $\Omega_\Lambda = [0, 1]^\Lambda = \Omega$ and denote by \mathcal{B} the sigma-algebra generated by measurable cylinder subsets of Ω .

Fix bounded, measurable functions $\xi_1 : (t, u, v) \in [0, 1]^3 \rightarrow \xi_1(t, u, v) \in R$ and $\xi_i : (u, v) \in [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \xi_i(u, v) \in R, i = 2, 3$. We consider a model with four competing interactions on the Cayley tree which is defined by a formal Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned}
 H(\sigma) = & -J_3 \sum_{\langle x,y,z \rangle} \xi_1(\sigma(x), \sigma(y), \sigma(z)) - J \sum_{\langle x,y \rangle} \xi_2(\sigma(x), \sigma(y)) \\
 & - J_1 \sum_{\langle x,y \rangle} \xi_3(\sigma(x), \sigma(y)) - \alpha \sum_x \sigma(x),
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where the sum in the first term ranges all triples of neighbors, the second sum ranges all second neighbors, the third sum ranges all nearest neighbors, and $J, J_1, J_3, \alpha \in R \setminus \{0\}$.

Let $h : [0, 1] \times V \setminus \{x^0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $|h(t, x)| = |h_{t,x}| < C$ where x_0 is a root of Cayley tree and C is a constant which does not depend on t . For some $n \in \mathbb{N}, \sigma_n : x \in V_n \mapsto \sigma(x)$ and Z_n is the corresponding partition function we consider the probability distribution $\mu^{(n)}$ on Ω_{V_n} defined by:

$$\mu^{(n)}(\sigma_n) = Z_n^{-1} \exp \left(-\beta H(\sigma_n) + \sum_{x \in W_n} h_{\sigma(x),x} \right), \tag{2}$$

$$Z_n = \int \dots \int_{\Omega_{V_{n-1}}^{(p)}} \exp \left(-\beta H(\tilde{\sigma}_n) + \sum_{x \in W_n} h_{\tilde{\sigma}(x),x} \right) \lambda_{V_{n-1}}^{(p)}(d\tilde{\sigma}_n), \tag{3}$$

where

$$\underbrace{\Omega_{W_n} \times \Omega_{W_n} \times \dots \times \Omega_{W_n}}_{3 \cdot 2^{p-1}} = \Omega_{W_n}^{(p)}, \quad \underbrace{\lambda_{W_n} \times \lambda_{W_n} \times \dots \times \lambda_{W_n}}_{3 \cdot 2^{p-1}} = \lambda_{W_n}^{(p)}, \quad n, p \in \mathbb{N},$$

Let $\sigma_{n-1} \in \Omega_{V_{n-1}}$ and $\sigma_{n-1} \vee \omega_n \in \Omega_{V_n}$ is the concatenation of σ_{n-1} and ω_n . For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we say that the probability distributions $\mu^{(n)}$ are compatible if $\mu^{(n)}$ satisfies the following condition:

$$\int \int_{\Omega_{W_n} \times \Omega_{W_n}} \mu^{(n)}(\sigma_{n-1} \vee \omega_n) (\lambda_{W_n} \times \lambda_{W_n})(d\omega_n) = \mu^{(n-1)}(\sigma_{n-1}). \tag{4}$$

By Kolmogorov’s extension theorem, there exists a unique measure μ on Ω_V such that, for any n and $\sigma_n \in \Omega_{V_n}, \mu(\{\sigma|_{V_n} = \sigma_n\}) = \mu^{(n)}(\sigma_n)$. The measure μ is called *splitting Gibbs measure* corresponding to Hamiltonian (1) and function $x \mapsto h_x, x \neq x^0$ (see [7, 8, 14, 15]).

We denote:

$$K(u, t, v) = \exp \{ J_3 \beta \xi_1(t, u, v) + J \beta \xi_2(u, v) + J_1 \beta (\xi_3(t, u) + \xi_3(t, v)) + \alpha \beta (u + v) \}, \tag{5}$$

and

$$f(t, x) = \exp(h_{t,x} - h_{0,x}), \quad (t, u, v) \in [0, 1]^3, \quad x \in V \setminus \{x^0\}.$$

The following statement describes conditions on h_x guaranteeing the compatibility of the corresponding distributions $\mu^{(n)}(\sigma_n)$.

Proposition 1 [16] The measure $\mu^{(n)}(\sigma_n), n = 1, 2, \dots$ satisfies the consistency condition (4) iff for any $x \in V \setminus \{x^0\}$ the following equation holds:

$$f(t, x) = \prod_{\langle y,z \rangle \in S(x)} \frac{\int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(t, u, v) f(u, y) f(v, z) dudv}{\int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(0, u, v) f(u, y) f(v, z) dudv}, \tag{6}$$

where $S(x) = \{y, z\}, \langle y, x, z \rangle$ is a ternary neighbor.

2. Lyapunov operator with degenerate kernel

Let $\varphi_1(t)$, $\varphi_2(s)$ and $\varphi_3(u)$ are positive functions from $C_0^+[0, 1]$. We consider Lyapunov’s operator A (see [9,17]):

$$(Af)(t) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (\varphi_1(t) + \varphi_2(s) + \varphi_3(u)) f(s)f(u)dsdu.$$

and quadratic operator P on \mathbb{R}^3 by the rule

$$P(x, y, z) = (\alpha_{11}x^2 + xy + xz, \alpha_{21}x^2 + \alpha_{22}xy + \alpha_{22}xz, \alpha_{31}x^2 + \alpha_{33}xy + \alpha_{33}xz).$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{11} &= \int_0^1 \varphi_1(s)ds > 0; \\ \alpha_{22} &= \int_0^1 \varphi_2(s)ds > 0, \quad \alpha_{21} = \int_0^1 \varphi_1(s)\varphi_2(s)ds > 0; \\ \alpha_{33} &= \int_0^1 \varphi_3(s)ds > 0, \quad \alpha_{31} = \int_0^1 \varphi_1(s)\varphi_3(s)ds > 0. \end{aligned}$$

The existence of fixed points of Lyapunov’s operator A is proved in [16]. A sufficient condition of uniqueness of fixed points of Lyapunov operator A is given (see [8]).

Lemma 2.1 *Lyapunov’s operator A has a nontrivial positive fixed point iff the quadratic operator P has a nontrivial positive fixed point, moreover, $N_{fix}^+(A) = N_{fix}^+(P)$.*

Proof (a) Put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}_3^+ &= \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x \geq 0, y \geq 0, z \geq 0\}, \\ \mathbb{R}_3^> &= \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x > 0, y > 0, z > 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let Lyapunov’s operator A has a nontrivial positive fixed point $f(t) \in C_0^+[0, 1]$. Let

$$x_1 = \int_0^1 f(u)du, \tag{7}$$

$$x_2 = \int_0^1 \varphi_2(u)f(u)du, \tag{8}$$

and

$$x_3 = \int_0^1 \varphi_3(u)f(u)du, \tag{9}$$

Clearly, $x_1 > 0, x_2 > 0, x_3 > 0$, i.e. $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}_3^>$. Then, for the function $f(t)$, the equality

$$f(t) = \varphi_1(t)x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 \tag{10}$$

holds.

Consequently, for parametrs c_1, c_2, c_3 from the equality (7), (8) and (9), we have the three identities:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= x_1(\alpha_{11}x_1 + x_2 + x_3), \\ x_2 &= x_1(\alpha_{21}x_1 + \alpha_{22}x_2 + \alpha_{22}x_3), \\ x_3 &= x_1(\alpha_{31}x_1 + \alpha_{33}x_2 + \alpha_{33}x_3). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the point (c_1, c_2) is fixed point of the quadratic operator P .

(b) Assume, that the fixed point x_0, y_0, z_0 is a nontrivial positive fixed point of the quadratic operator P , i.e. $(x_0, y_0, z_0) \in \mathbb{R}_3^>$ and number x_0, y_0, z_0 satisfies the following equalities

$$\begin{aligned} x_0(\alpha_{11}x_0 + y_0 + z_0) &= x_0, \\ x_0(\alpha_{21}x_0 + \alpha_{22}y_0 + \alpha_{22}z_0) &= y_0, \\ x_0(\alpha_{31}x_0 + \alpha_{33}y_0 + \alpha_{33}z_0) &= z_0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove that the function $f_0(t) = \varphi_1(t)x_0^2 + x_0y_0 + x_0z_0$ is fixed point of Lyapunov’s operator A and $f_0(t) \in C_0^+[0, 1]$. This completes the proof.

3. Positive fixed points of the quadratic operators in cone \mathbb{R}_3^+

We define quadratic operator (QO) \mathcal{Q} in cone \mathbb{R}_3 by the rule

$$\mathcal{Q}(x, y, z) = (a_{11}x^2 + xy + xz, a_{21}x^2 + a_{22}xy + a_{22}xz, a_{31}x^2 + a_{33}xy + a_{33}xz).$$

3.1-lemma *If the point $\omega = (x_0, y_0, z_0) \in \mathbb{R}_2^+$ is fixed point of QO \mathcal{Q} , then x_0 is a root of the quadratic algebraic equation*

$$(a_{21} + a_{31} - a_{11}a_{22} - a_{11}a_{33})x^2 + (a_{11} + a_{22} + a_{33})x - 1 = 0 \tag{11}$$

Proof Let the point $\omega = (x_0, y_0, z_0) \in \mathbb{R}_3^+$ be a fixed point of QO \mathcal{Q} . Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_{11}x_0^2 + x_0y_0 + x_0z_0, & \quad a_{21}x_0^2 + a_{22}x_0y_0 + a_{22}x_0z_0, \\ a_{31}x_0^2 + a_{33}x_0y_0 + a_{33}x_0z_0. & \end{aligned}$$

Using the bellowing equalities, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} y_0 + z_0 &= 1 - a_{11}x_0 \\ y_0 &= x_0(a_{21}x_0 + a_{22}(1 - a_{11}x_0)) \\ z_0 &= x_0(a_{31}x_0 + a_{33}(1 - a_{11}x_0)) \\ y_0 + z_0 &= x_0(a_{21}x_0 + a_{22}(1 - a_{11}x_0)) + x_0(a_{31}x_0 + a_{33}(1 - a_{11}x_0)) = \\ &= (a_{21} + a_{31} - a_{11}a_{22} - a_{11}a_{33})x_0^2 + (a_{22} + a_{33})x_0 = a_{11}x_0 \end{aligned}$$

By the last equality, we get:

$$(a_{21} + a_{31} - a_{11}a_{22} - a_{11}a_{33})x_0^2 + (a_{11} + a_{22} + a_{33})x_0 - 1 = 0.$$

This completes the proof.

3.2-lemma *If the positive number x_0 is root of the quadratic algebraic Eq.(11), then the point $\omega_0 = (x_0, x_0(a_{21}x_0 + a_{22}(1 - a_{11}x_0)), x_0(a_{31}x_0 + a_{33}(1 - a_{11}x_0)))$ is fixed point of QO \mathcal{Q} .*

Proof Let x_0 be a root of the quadratic Eq.(11), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} &(a_{21} + a_{31} - a_{11}a_{22} - a_{11}a_{33})x_0^2 + (a_{11} + a_{22} + a_{33})x_0 - 1 = 0. \\ &x_0(a_{11}x_0 + y_0 + z_0) = \\ &= x_0(a_{11}x_0 + x_0(a_{21}x_0 + a_{22}(1 - a_{11}x_0)) + x_0(a_{31}x_0 + a_{33}(1 - a_{11}x_0))) = \\ &= x_0(a_{11}x_0 + (a_{21} + a_{31} - a_{11}a_{22} - a_{11}a_{33})x_0^2 + (a_{22} + a_{33})x_0) = \\ &= x_0((a_{21} + a_{31} - a_{11}a_{22} - a_{11}a_{33})x_0^2 + (a_{11} + a_{22} + a_{33})x_0 - 1 + 1) = x_0(0 + 1) = x_0 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$y_0 + z_0 = 1 - a_{11}x_0.$$

From the last equality, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{21}x_0^2 + a_{22}x_0y_0 + a_{22}x_0z_0 &= \\ = x_0(a_{21}x_0 + a_{22}(y_0 + z_0)) &= x_0(a_{21}x_0 + a_{22}(1 - a_{11}x_0)), \\ a_{31}x_0^2 + a_{33}x_0y_0 + a_{33}x_0z_0 &= \\ = x_0(a_{31}x_0 + a_{33}(y_0 + z_0)) &= x_0(a_{31}x_0 + a_{33}(1 - a_{11}x_0)). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

We put

$$\mu_0 = a_{21} + a_{31} - a_{11}a_{22} - a_{11}a_{33}, \quad \mu_1 = a_{11} + a_{22} + a_{33}$$

and define polynomial $P_2(x)$:

$$P_2(x) = \mu_0x^2 + \mu_1x - 1. \tag{12}$$

Theorem 3.3 *QO \mathcal{Q} has a unique nontrivial positive fixed point.*

Proof To prove the Theorem, we use properties of the polynomial $P_2(x)$. It is known that there are two roots of the polynomial. They are:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \frac{-\mu_1 + \sqrt{\mu_1^2 + 4\mu_0}}{2\mu_0} \\ x_2 &= \frac{-\mu_1 - \sqrt{\mu_1^2 + 4\mu_0}}{2\mu_0} \end{aligned}$$

I Let $\mu_0 > 0$. In this case, $x_1 > 0$ and $x_2 < 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 - a_{11}x_1 &= 1 - \frac{-\mu_1 + \sqrt{\mu_1^2 + 4\mu_0}}{2\mu_0} a_{11} = \\
 &= \frac{2\mu_0 + a_{11}\mu_1 - \sqrt{(\mu_1^2 + 4\mu_0)a_{11}^2}}{2\mu_0} > \\
 &> \frac{2\mu_0 + a_{11}\mu_1 - \sqrt{\mu_1^2 a_{11}^2 + 4\mu_0\mu_1 a_{11}}}{2\mu_0} > \\
 &> \frac{2\mu_0 + a_{11}\mu_1 - \sqrt{\mu_1^2 a_{11}^2 + a_{11}\mu_0\mu_1 a_{11} + 4\mu_0\mu_1 a_{11}}}{2\mu_0} = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $1 - a_{11}x_1 > 0$. It means:

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_1 &= x_1(a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}(1 - a_{11}x_1)) > 0, \\
 z_1 &= x_1(a_{31}x_1 + a_{33}(1 - a_{11}x_1)) > 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

II Let $\mu_0 < 0$. In this case, $x_1 > 0$ and $x_2 > 0$.

Clearly,

$$(P_2(x))' = 2\mu_0 x + \mu_1 \tag{13}$$

and $P_2' \left(\frac{-\mu_1}{2\mu_0} \right) = 0$. Moreover, the function $P_2(x)$ is an increasing function on $\left(-\infty, \frac{-\mu_1}{2\mu_0} \right)$ and it is a decreasing function on $\left(\frac{-\mu_1}{2\mu_0}, \infty \right)$.

If we put $x' = \frac{-\mu_1}{2\mu_0}$, then

$$x_1 < x' < x_2.$$

III.I Let $x' = \frac{-\mu_1}{2\mu_0} < \frac{1}{a_{11}}$.

$$a_{11}\mu_1 < -2\mu_0 \tag{14}$$

Then $x_1 < \frac{1}{a_{11}}$ and from $1 - a_{11}x_1 > 0$. Moreover,

$$(x_1, y_1, z_1) \in \mathbb{R}_3^+$$

By other hand, we have the following identity:

$$1 - a_{11}x_2 = \frac{2\mu_0 + a_{11}\mu_1 + a_{11}\sqrt{\mu_1^2 + 4\mu_0}}{2\mu_0}$$

By (14):

$$\begin{aligned}
 &2\mu_0 + a_{11}\mu_1 + a_{11}\sqrt{\mu_1^2 + 4\mu_0} > \\
 &> 2\mu_0 + (-2\mu_0) + a_{11}\sqrt{\mu_1^2 + 4\mu_0} = a_{11}\sqrt{\mu_1^2 + 4\mu_0} > 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

From the last inequality,

$$1 - a_{11}x_2 < 0$$

and

$$(x_2, y_2, z_2) \notin \mathbb{R}_3^+.$$

III.II Let $x' = \frac{-\mu_1}{2\mu_0} > \frac{1}{a_{11}}$. We have:

$$1 - a_{11}x_1 = \frac{2\mu_0 + a_{11}\mu_1 - a_{11}\sqrt{\mu_1^2 + 4\mu_0}}{2\mu_0}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_{21} + a_{31} &> 0, \\
 a_{21} + a_{31} - a_{11}a_{22} - a_{11}a_{33} + a_{11}^2 + a_{11}a_{22} + a_{11}a_{33} &> a_{11}, \\
 \mu_0 + a_{11}\mu_1 &> a_{11}^2, \\
 4\mu_0(\mu_0 + a_{11}\mu_1) &< 4\mu_0(a_{11}^2), \\
 a\mu_0^2 + 4a_{11}\mu_0\mu_1 + a_{11}^2\mu_1^2 &< a_{11}^2\mu_1^2 + 4\mu_0a_{11}^2, \\
 (2\mu_0 + a_{11}\mu_1)^2 &< (a_{11}\sqrt{\mu_1^2 + 4\mu_0})^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$2\mu_0 + a_{11}\mu_1 < a_{11}\sqrt{\mu_1^2 + 4\mu_0},$$

$$2\mu_0 + a_{11}\mu_1 - a_{11}\sqrt{\mu_1^2 + 4\mu_0} < 0.$$

From the last identity:

$$1 - a_{11}x_1 > 0,$$

and

$$(x_1, y_1, z_1) \in \mathbb{R}_3^+.$$

By the other hand, $x_2 > x' > \frac{1}{a_{11}}$. So, $1 - a_{11}x_2 < 0$ and $(x_2, y_2, z_2) \notin \mathbb{R}_3^+$.

References

- [1] Pigorov S.A., Sinai Ya.G. Phase diagrams of classical lattice systems (Russian). *Theor. and Math. Phys.*, 1975, **25**, P. 358–369.
- [2] Pigorov S.A., Sinai Ya.G. Phase diagrams of classical lattice systems. Continuation (Russian). *Theor. and Math. Phys.*, 1976, **26**, P. 61–76.
- [3] Sinai Ya.G. *Theory of phase transitions: Rigorous Results*, Pergamon, Oxford, 1982.
- [4] Kotecky R., Shlosman S.B. First-order phase transition in large entropy lattice models. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 1982, **83**, P. 493–515.
- [5] Mazel A., Suhov Y., Stuhl I. A classical WR model with q particle types. *J.Stat.Phys.*, 2015, **159**, P. 1040–1086.
- [6] Mazel A., Suhov Y., Stuhl I., Zohren S. Dominance of most tolerant species in multi-type lattice Widom-Rowlinson models. *Journ. Stat. Mech.*, 2014, P. 08010.
- [7] Eshkabilov Yu.Kh., Haydarov F.H. On positive solutions of the homogeneous Hammerstein integral equation. *Nanosystems: Physics, Chemistry, Mathematics*, 2015, **6**(5), P. 618–627.
- [8] Eshkabilov Yu.Kh., Rozikov U.A., Haydarov F.H. Non-uniqueness of Gibbs measure for models with uncountable set of spin values on a Cayley Tree. *Journal Statistical Physics*, 2012, **147**, P. 779–794.
- [9] Eshkabilov Yu.Kh., Rozikov U.A., Haydarov F.H. Uniqueness of Gibbs measure for models with uncountable set of spin values on a Cayley tree. *Math.Phys.Anal.Geom.*, 2013, **16**, P. 1–17.
- [10] Eshkabilov Yu.K., Haydarov F.H., Nodirov Sh.D. Positive fixed points of quadratic operators and Gibbs measures. *Positivity*, 2016, **20**(4), P. 929–943.
- [11] Eshkabilov Yu.Kh., Haydarov F.H. Lyapunov operator L with degenerate kernel and Gibbs measures. *Nanosystems: Physics, Chemistry, Mathematics*, 2017, **8**(5), P. 553–558.
- [12] Rozikov U.A. *Gibbs measures on a Cayley trees*, World Sci. Pub, Singapore, 2013.
- [13] Rozikov U.A., Haydarov F.H. Four competing interactions for models with an uncountable set of spin values on a Cayley tree. *Theor. Math. Phys.*, 2017, **191**(2), P. 748–761.
- [14] Krasnosel'ski M.A. *Positive Solutions of Operator Equations*. Gos. Izd. Moscow, 1969, Russian.
- [15] Nirenberg L. *Topics in nonlinear functional analysis*. AMS, Courant Lec. Notes in Math, 6, N.Y., 2001.
- [16] Haydarov F.H. Fixed points of Lyapunov integral operators and Gibbs measures. *Positivity*, 2018, **22**(4), P. 1165–1172.
- [17] Georgii H.O. *Gibbs Measures and Phase Transitions*, 2nd edn. de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, vol. 9. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2011.